UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,934	08/18/2003	Binh T. Nguyen	IGT1P279/P-835	4848	
22434 BEYER WEAV	7590 12/14/2007 /ER LLP	,	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 7025 OAKLAND, C	-	LEE, BENJAMIN WILLIAM			
Ornell HvD, O.	OIME/111D, CA 94012-0230		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/642,934	NGUYEN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin W. Lee	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress -
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI		·	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount on Shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee the action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co.  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo.  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beloappeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); ter form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje	TE below);	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:	• • •	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1 2 - 37.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented.  Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	1	<u> </u>
13. Other:		N X 1111-	

XUAN M. THAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**Application No. 10/642,934** 

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claim limitation "wherein the chosen gaming unit is not configured for playing the one or more selected games in the tournament, and wherin the gaming software for the one or more selected games is not stored on the chosen gaming unit." is a new issue that would require further search and consideration

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding Applicant's traversal of the rejections under 35, U.S.C. 101, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Neither configuring a gaming machine nor conducting a tournament produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

Regarding Applicant's argument that Kelly et al. does not teach or suggest configuring a gaming machine after it has been chosen by a player for tournamment play, the examiner respectufily disagrees. Applicant argues that updating game software already on a gaming machine is the same as updating updating game software that is already configured for tournament play. The examiner takes the position that the need to update the gaming software implies that the machine is not configured for tournament play. A key aspect of tournaments is that every player must follow the same rules and that the tournament should provide a fair playing field. Thus, it is important for every player to have the same version of software. Often times, software updates provide bug fixes and additional and enhanced features. Thus, if tournament players have different software versions, some players may be handicapped. Furthermore, different software versions are sometimes incompatible with each other; in this case, the gaming machine would clearly not be configured for tournament play.

Regarding Applicant's argument that Kelly et al. does not teach obtaining a configuration file, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Kelly teaches transmitting game variables and settings (see ¶ [0050]). The gaming machine is selected at the moment the user chooses the machine for play, and is subsequently updated (i.e. configured).